

"A GEOGRAPHICAL ANALYSIS OF CHANGE IN CROPPING PATTERN; A CASE STUDY OF FARM POND HOLDING FARMERS IN AHMEDNAGAR DISTRICT (M.S.)"

Dr. Arjun H. Musmade

Tikkaram Jaggnath Arts, Commerce and Science College, Kirkee. Pune-3 Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University Email: <u>arjunmusmade@gmail.com</u>

Dr. Dattatray Sheshrao Ghungarde

Assistant Professor, Department of Geography, New Arts, Commerce and Science College, Parner, Dist – Ahmednagar, Affiliated to Savitribai Phule Pune University Email: <u>dsghungarde@gmail.com</u>

Abstract:

Recently, Irrigation has become more essential and without it, most crops cannot be grown. Artificial irrigation became instrumental due to variability of monsoon and uneven distribution of rainfall. The Ahmednagar district mainly suffers from scarcity of water and lies in core zone of drought prone area. The farmers, especially small and marginal have limited resources to resolve the issue of scarcity of water. For such farmers, the concept of **'Farm-pond'** is quite useful. (Dr. D.S Ghungarde)^[2]. The present research examines the impact of Farm ponds on change in cropping pattern in Ahmednagar district. The present study made use of primary data. The primary data was obtained from tehsilwise 10% Farm pond holding farmers (980 Farmers) respondents using well-structured questionnaire using, Random Sampling Technique. The quantitative information regarding the cropped area has been compiled using simple tabular analysis and percentage of area under each crop with respect to NSA is found out. Before and after farm-pond, the change in cropping pattern clearly indicates that some crops fetching better profit such as fruits and cash crops has significantly increased due to newly created water resource such as farm-ponds. The ponds have not only affected the cropping pattern but also tendency of the farmers to choose commercial crops by compromising area under food crops.

Keywords: Irrigation, Farm pond, Cropping pattern, NSA etc.

Article History

* Received: 24/08/2021; Accepted: 16/09/2021

Corresponding author: Dr. Dattatray Sheshrao Ghungarde

1. Introduction:

Agricultural development is depends mainly upon productivity of various crops. The factors affecting crop yield are mainly irrigation technology, storage and market facilities etc. Therefore, it is necessary to find out the availability and nature of such factors. The present study area mainly suffers from scarcity of water and lies in core zone of drought prone area. The canal irrigation may be feasible in the limited areas. Availability of ground water is low and most of the wells are deep and they dry up from April to July. Secondly long dry spells during monsoon has put forth the need of water supply to avoid wilting of crops.

Wali V.S. et. al. (2019)^[4] studied and analysed the cropping pattern prevailing in the Malaprabha Project Command area and also compared the recommended cropping pattern with the actual practice and identify the deviation. Anonymous (1977)^[1] reported that since water is the first limiting natural component for crop production in dry and semi-arid regions. In rain-fed agriculture only rain falls in a given area is utilized, thus the pond or catchment area is naturally concentrated for the study of crop management, resource conservation and utilization. Shivarudrappa (2010)^[3] studied and gave information about the success story of the ponds of the fields implemented under the project at *Pampanur Thanda* in *Anantapur* district of Andhra Pradesh. The author found in the case study that, Productivity and Diversity of crops along with cultivation of perennial crops can be enhanced by adopting farm ponds for soil and water Conservation.

The farmers, especially small and marginal have limited resources to resolve the issue of scarcity of water. For such farmers, the concept of **'Farm-pond'** is quite useful. The present investigation aims at finding out key role of farm-ponds in agriculture such as cropping pattern. The study of cropping pattern is based on quantitative information regarding areal strength of major crops grown in the district.

2. Objective:

The present research paper examines the impact of Farm ponds on change in cropping pattern of farm pond holding farmers in Ahmednagar district.

3. Study Area:

Ahmednagar district is selected as the study area in the state of Maharashtra. Ahmednagar district is situated to some extent in the upper Godavari valley and to some extent in the Bhima valley. It is between $18^{0}10'$ to $20^{0}00'$ North Latitude and $73^{0}30'$ to $75^{0}37'$ East Longitude. (Figure 1). The district lies in the rain shadow zone of the western ghat. The distribution of the rainfall is very uneven and average annual rainfall received is 583.5 mm. About 75% of the annual rainfall is received during the southwest monsoon season.

4. Material and Method:

The present study made use of primary data. The primary data was obtained from Farm pond holding farmer respondents using well-structured questionnaire. During 2005 to 2015, there are total 9798 farm ponds

constructed in the district. Out of that, tehsilwise 10% sample farm pond holding farmers (980 farmers) were selected using Random sampling technique.

The details regarding area under different crops have been obtained from the farmers having farm-ponds before and after farm-pond construction. While collecting data, it was supported by field observation. This has improved validity of the data. The quantitative information regarding the cropped area has been compiled using simple tabular analysis as shown in the appendix (Appendix 1 to 3) in the appendix section of this paper. The summery of the same is depicted in the table (Table 1) and bar graph (Figure 2). The area of each crop has been converted into percentage to NSA. The change in area under each crop has also been calculated (Figure 3).

5. Result and Discussion:

The table (Table 1) and the figure (Figure 2) show that area under fruit crops has significantly increased due to farm-ponds. The newly created water body is being successfully used for protective irrigation. The field observations reveal that micro-irrigational techniques are applied especially for horticultural crops. The requirement of volume of water for such trees is very low as compared to sugarcane. However, the period of requirement of water for fruit crops is throughout the year. Therefore, water resource from the ponds may be considered as crucial factor for the crops like pomegranate, orange, mango, guava, lemon, etc. The next crop which showed areal increment due to ponds is Onion. The ponds can easily fulfil water requirement of this crop, as it is a seasonal crop.

Vegetables and flowers are increased by 6.58 % in the district. This means that farmers have a tendency to grow cash crops on the basis of newly created water resources.

Among the grains, wheat (+3.49) is preferred mainly because it has better marketability compared with other foodgrain crops.

Before and after farm-pond, the change in cropping pattern clearly indicates, the changes that have taken place in agricultural development of the district. Some crops fetching better profit have shown increase in sown area. These crops are Wheat (+3.49%), Sugarcane (+1.58%), Cotton (+1.68%), Fruit crops (+9.25%), Onion (+7.19%), other vegetables and Flower (+6.58%). Obviously with limited land resource the farmers have reduced the area under the crops getting low income. These are Jowar (-12.46%), Bajra (-13.41%), Pulses (-2.01%), Oilseeds (-1.45%) etc.

It must be noted here that, the reduction of area under oilseeds, especially groundnut and pulses has important implication on environment. Such crops are necessary to maintain soil ecology. This point is well felt mainly due to the changing tendency of the farmers. The ponds have not only affected the cropping pattern but also tendency of the farmers to choose commercial crops by compromising area under food crops. After the above discussion, it is suggested to construct more and more farm ponds in drought prone areas like Ahmednagar district to have sufficient protective irrigation. It is also suggested to implement Micro irrigation techniques likes Drip irrigation, Sprinkler irrigation etc.

6. Conclusion:

It is concluded that, this type of study is important for future of agricultural planning to achieve a holistic growth. This will definitely be useful for planners, researchers and implementing agencies. Such studies can also exhibit a good example of utility of geographical studies for agricultural development.

7. References:

[1] Anonymous (1977): "Annual Report on International crop research institute for semi-arid and tropics on farm watershed research", Hyderabad.

[2] Dr. Ghungarde D. S., Dr. J. C. More (2015): Impact of Farm ponds on Agriculture – A Case study of Parner tehsil, Peer reviewed International research journal of Geography, Maharashtra Bhugolshastra Sanshodhan Patrika, Vol – 32/Issue 2

[3] Shivarudrappa B. (2010): "Farm pond for Income and Livelihood security: A case study from Anantapur district of AP BIRD-AP", Experiences, Issues and Strategies Proceedings of National Workshop-cum-Brain Storming 21-22 April 2009 CRIDA, Pp: 149 to 153.

[4] Wali V. S., Poddar R., Mundinamani S. M., Yenagi B. S. (2019): A Research Article on "An Analysis of Cropping Pattern in Malaprabha Project Command Area" published in International Journal of Agriculture sciences, ISSN: 0975-3710 & E-ISSN: 0975-9107, Volume 11, Issue 2, 2019, pp.-7739-7742.

A1	rea under Major cr	ops before a	nd after fa	arm-pond co	nstruction	ı (in hect)
		Before Fai	m-pond	After Far	m-pond	Volume of
Sr. No.	Crops	Cropped area (hect)	% to district	Cropped area (hect)	% to district	change in % of area
1	Jowar	451.14	21.68	216.42	9.22	- 12.46
2	Bajra	366.03	17.59	98.07	4.18	- 13.41
3	Wheat	103.35	4.97	198.52	8.46	+ 3.49
4	Pulses	144.66	6.95	116.04	4.95	- 2.01
5	Oilseed	74.51	3.58	49.96	2.13	- 1.45
6	Sugarcane	98.43	4.73	148.16	6.31	+ 1.58
7	Cotton	37.75	1.81	81.99	3.49	+ 1.68
8	Fruit crops	327.66	15.75	586.53	25.00	+ 9.25
9	Onion	245.50	11.80	445.58	18.99	+ 7.19

Table 1: Area under Major crops of Farm pond holding farmers, Ahmednagar district

10	Other Vegetables	123.93	5.96	234.61	10.00	+ 4.04
11	Flowers	51.38	2.47	117.55	5.01	+ 2.54
12	Fodder	36.12	1.74	40.76	1.74	N.C.
13	Rice	20.30	0.98	12.20	0.52	- 0.46
Tot	al Cropped Area	2080.76	100	2346.38	100	+ 6.95

Wesleyan Journal of Research, Vol.14 No.26 (September 2021)

Figure 1: Location Map of Study Area



(Source: Prepared by researcher)



Figure 2: Area under Major Crops, Ahmednagar District

(Source: Prepared by researcher based on primary data)

Figure 3: Change in Area under Major crops, Ahmednagar District



(Source: Prepared by researcher based on primary data)

8. Appendix:

	Арре	endix 1	: Tehs	silwise	area ui	nder diffe	erent cr	ops be	fore F	arm pond	ls (Are	a in hee	ct)	
			Area	unde	r differ	ent crop	(Area i	n hect)	Befor	e Farm p	onds			Tota l
Tehsil	Jow ar	Baj ra	Wh eat	Pul ses	Oils eed	Sugar cane	Cott on/ Fibb er	Fru its	Oni on	Other Vegeta bles	Flo wer Cro ps	Fod der	Ric e	NSA
Akole	0.00	59.6 9	13.6 3	18.3 0	6.67	17.40	0.00	38.4 0	18.6 0	16.80	5.41	10.2 0	20. 30	225. 40
Sanga mner	23.9 4	80.4 0	15.3 2	12.6 0	7.50	10.14	17.8 0	48.6 0	31.4 0	20.20	6.00	2.60	0.0 0	276. 50
Kopar gaon	10.4 8	15.8 0	6.19	3.52	1.81	5.60	0.70	9.72	12.2 0	2.80	0.27	0.50	0.0 0	69.6 0
Rahata	10	18.4 4	5.47	4.99	0.06	7.10	0.59	14.7 6	10.8 0	3.67	5.95	1.37	0.0 0	83.2 0
Shrira mpur	9.3	5.70	4.00	2.70	2.07	5.50	0.00	6.60	7.24	2.80	0.00	0.74	0.0 0	46.6 5
Newas a	11.0 6	10.2 0	3.60	5.03	1.08	14.19	0.61	19.2 0	7.20	3.56	0.00	0.62	0.0 0	76.3 5
Shevga on	40.1 5	21.6 6	4.20	9.40	8.80	10.75	11.7 0	18.4 0	30.2 0	15.20	0.37	1.52	0.0 0	172. 35
Pathar di	40.2 2	72.9 5	3.46	11.7 2	3.94	6.43	0.30	58.2 0	20.4 0	7.60	4.41	0.99	0.0 0	230. 62
Nagar	76.1 6	28.6 1	8.11	25.3 3	14.2 5	0.86	0.09	24.6 0	13.8 0	14.20	10.6 1	3.63	0.0 0	220. 25
Rahuri	9.42	10.9 0	10.7 0	3.60	1.22	7.60	0.34	13.2 8	9.51	8.00	1.00	3.82	0.0 0	79.4 0
Parner	85.6	21.5 0	17.6 0	22.8 0	8.80	2.81	0.40	32.2 0	29.8 0	10.60	10.5 5	5.70	0.0 0	248. 35
Shrigo nda	39.1 8	4.04	5.20	7.35	3.62	5.70	0.02	22.3 0	20.2 0	6.40	2.10	1.29	0.0 0	117. 40
Karjat	70.5 6	10.2 5	4.67	15.7 3	7.94	4.14	3.20	16.2 0	27.4 0	10.80	4.71	2.76	0.0 0	178. 36
Jamkh ed	25.0 7	5.90	1.20	1.59	6.75	0.22	2.00	5.20	6.75	1.30	0.00	0.38	0.0 0	56.3 6

1. Takailari Lafe J. () in head) J: . J:CC . Г

Wesleyan Journal of Research, Vol.14 No.26 (September 2021)

Distric	451.	366.	103.	144.	74.5	09.42	37.7	327.	245.	122.02	51.3	36.1	20.	2080
t	14	03	35	66	1	98.43	5	66	50	123.95	8	2	30	.78

Appendix 2: Tehsilwise area under different crops After Farm ponds (Area in hect)

			Are	ea unde	er diffe	rent crop	(Area	in hect	t) After	r Farm po	onds			Tota l
Tehsil	Jow ar	Baj ra	Wh eat	Pul ses	Oils eed	Sugar cane	Cott on/ Fibb er	Fru its	Oni on	Other Vegeta bles	Flo wer Cro ps	Fod der	Ric e	NSA
Akole	0	10. 20	19.4 5	17.5 1	11.1 0	21.00	0.00	63.6 4	41.4 2	38.80	10.2 0	8.83	12. 2	254. 35
Sanga mner	10.7 5	25. 40	22.4 0	5.50	4.33	12.67	5.54	102. 80	62.8 0	49.93	12.0 0	7.38	0	321. 50
Kopar gaon	1.69	4.7 0	9.53	1.20	1.80	18.76	1.03	11.2 0	13.7 0	3.52	2.06	1.00	0	70.1 9
Rahata	2.20	2.5 6	9.58	3.41	4.40	20.35	0.50	18.4 0	11.4 0	5.10	6.16	1.34	0	85.4 0
Shrira mpur	4.44	1.4 0	8.15	1.20	3.22	9.20	0.80	7.00	8.20	3.20	0.18	1.10	0	48.0 9
Newas a	2.80	1.1 0	9.12	4.40	0.50	19.20	6.80	20.0 0	8.40	4.02	0.40	1.76	0	78.5 0
Shevga on	30.7 0	5.2 0	7.71	4.20	4.54	15.50	30.8 0	25.4 5	40.4 0	20.29	3.41	2.20	0	190. 40
Pathar di	20.8 0	14. 80	19.4 8	8.10	4.73	5.80	20.5 0	103. 56	56.8 1	11.22	8.35	1.20	0	275. 35
Nagar	28.1 7	5.3 8	14.2 5	22.2 4	2.20	1.60	0.20	66.2 0	33.9 0	32.21	32.1 8	1.50	0	240. 03
Rahuri	2.96	5.6 0	15.2 0	4.80	3.34	7.08	1.20	15.8 5	11.0 0	9.20	1.80	4.40	0	82.4 3
Parner	50.2 0	8.4 0	30.4 0	26.6 0	4.20	2.80	0.00	61.8 0	57.2 0	26.40	23.6 0	3.80	0	295. 40
Shrigo nda	19.1 1	1.6 0	9.55	4.10	0.00	8.50	0.00	49.9 9	29.3 5	10.91	7.09	2.40	0	142. 60
Karjat	35.8 0	8.8 7	15.5 0	10.3 2	4.40	5.70	4.42	29.0 1	54.4 0	15.61	10.1 2	2.85	0	197. 00

Jamkh ed	6.80	2.8 6	8.20	2.46	1.20	0.00	10.2 0	11.6 3	16.6 0	4.20	0.00	1.00	0	65.1 5
Distric t	216. 42	98. 07	198. 52	116. 04	49.9 6	148.16	81.9 9	586. 53	445. 58	234.61	117. 55	40.7 6	12. 20	2346 .38

Wesleyan Journal of Research, Vol.14 No.26 (September 2021)

Appendix 3: Tehsilwise Area in percentage under cultivation Before, After and Volume of Change in various crops

		Tehsi	ilwise /	Area	in pe	ercenta	ige ur	nder o	cultiva various	tion l s crop	Befoi os	re, Afte	er an	d Vo	lume o	f Cha	ange i	in
Tehsi		Jowa	ır		Bajr	'a		Whe	at		Puls	es	(Dilse	ed	Sı	igarc	ane
1	B. F	A. F	Cha nge	B. F	A. F	Cha nge	B. F	A. F	Cha nge	B. F	A. F	Cha nge	B. F	A. F	Cha nge	B. F	A. F	Vol. Cha nge
Akole	0	0	0	26. 48	4. 0 1	- 22.4 7	6.0 5	7.6 5	1.60	8.1 2	6. 8 8	- 1.23	2.9 6	4. 3 6	1.40	7.7 2	8.2 6	0.54
Sanga mner	8.6 6	3.3 4	- 5.31	29. 08	7. 9 0	- 21.1 8	5.5 4	6.9 7	1.43	4.5 6	1. 7 1	- 2.85	2.7 1	1. 3 5	- 1.37	3.6 7	3.9 4	0.27
Kopar gaon	15. 06	2.4 1	- 12.6 5	22. 70	6. 6 9	- 16.0 1	8.9 0	13. 58	4.68	5.0 6	1. 7 1	- 3.35	2.6 0	2. 5 6	- 0.04	8.0 5	26. 73	18.6 8
Rahat a	12. 02	2.5 8	- 9.44	22. 16	3. 0 0	- 19.1 7	6.5 7	11. 22	4.64	6.0 0	3. 9 9	- 2.01	0.0 7	5. 1 5	5.08	8.5 3	23. 83	15.3 0
Shrira mpur	19. 94	9.2 3	- 10.7 0	12. 22	2. 9 1	- 9.31	8.5 7	16. 94	8.37	5.7 9	2. 5 0	- 3.29	4.4 4	6. 7 0	2.26	11. 79	19. 13	7.34
Newa sa	14. 49	3.5 7	- 10.9 2	13. 36	1. 4 0	- 11.9 6	4.7 2	11. 62	6.90	6.5 9	5. 6 1	- 0.98	1.4 2	0. 6 4	- 0.78	18. 58	24. 46	5.88
Shevg aon	23. 30	16. 12	- 7.17	12. 57	2. 7 3	- 9.84	2.4 4	4.0 5	1.61	5.4 5	2. 2 1	- 3.25	5.1 1	2. 3 8	- 2.72	6.2 4	8.1 4	1.90
Pathar di	17. 44	7.5 5	- 9.89	31. 63	5. 3 7	- 26.2 6	1.5 0	7.0 7	5.57	5.0 8	2. 9 4	- 2.14	1.7 1	1. 7 2	0.01	2.7 9	2.1 1	- 0.68

Nagar	34. 58	11. 74	- 22.8 4	12. 99	2. 2 4	- 10.7 5	3.6 8	5.9 4	2.26	11. 50	9. 2 7	- 2.23	6.4 7	0. 9 2	- 5.55	0.3 9	0.6 7	0.28
Rahur i	11. 86	3.5 9	- 8.28	13. 73	6. 7 9	- 6.94	13. 48	18. 44	4.96	4.5 4	5. 8 2	1.28	1.5 4	4. 0 5	2.52	9.5 7	8.5 9	- 0.98
Parne r	34. 47	16. 99	- 17.4 7	8.6 6	2. 8 4	- 5.81	7.0 9	10. 29	3.20	9.1 8	9. 0 0	- 0.18	3.5 4	1. 4 2	- 2.12	1.1 3	0.9 5	- 0.18
Shrig onda	33. 37	13. 40	- 19.9 7	3.4 4	1. 1 2	- 2.32	4.4 3	6.7 0	2.27	6.2 6	2. 8 8	- 3.38	3.0 8	0. 0 0	- 3.08	4.8 6	5.9 6	1.11
Karjat	39. 56	18. 17	- 21.3 9	5.7 5	4. 5 0	- 1.24	2.6 2	7.8 7	5.25	8.8 2	5. 2 4	- 3.58	4.4 5	2. 2 3	- 2.22	2.3 2	2.8 9	0.57
Jamk hed	44. 48	10. 44	- 34.0 5	10. 47	4. 3 9	- 6.08	2.1 3	12. 59	10.4 6	2.8 2	3. 7 8	0.96	11. 98	1. 8 4	- 10.1 4	0.3 9	0.0 0	- 0.39
Distri ct	21. 68	9.2 2	- 12.4 6	17. 59	4. 1 8	- 13.4 1	4.9 7	8.4 6	3.49	6.9 5	4. 9 5	- 2.01	3.5 8	2. 1 3	- 1.45	4.7 3	6.3 1	1.58

Wesleyan Journal of Research, Vol.14 No.26 (September 2021)

		Tehs	ilwise	Area	in pe	rcenta	ge un	der c	ultivat various	tion B	Before	e, Aftei	and	l Volu	ime of	Cha	ngei	in
Tehsi l	Co	tton/	Fiber	Fru	uits C	rops		Onio	n	V	egetal	bles	-	Flowe Crop	ers DS]	Fodd Croj	ler ps
	В.	A.	Cha	B.	A.	Cha	B.	A.	Cha	B.	A.	Cha	B.	A.	Cha	B.	A.	Cha
	F	ľ	nge	F	F	nge	F	F	nge	F	F	nge	F	F	nge	F	F	nge
Akole	0. 0 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0 \end{array}$	0.00	17. 04	25. 02	7.98	8.2 5	16. 28	8.03	7.4 5	15. 25	7.80	2. 4 0	4.0 1	1.61	4. 5 3	3. 4 7	- 1.05
Sanga mner	6. 4 4	1.7 2	- 4.72	17. 58	31. 98	14.4 0	11. 36	19. 53	8.18	7.3 1	15. 53	8.22	2. 1 7	3.7 3	1.56	0. 9 4	2. 3 0	1.36
Kopar gaon	1. 0 1	1.4 7	0.46	13. 97	15. 96	1.99	17. 53	19. 52	1.99	4.0 2	5.0 2	0.99	0. 3 9	2.9 4	2.55	0. 7 2	1. 4 2	0.71

Rahat a	0. 7 1	0.5 9	- 0.12	17. 74	21. 55	3.80	12. 98	13. 35	0.37	4.4 1	5.9 7	1.56	7. 1 5	7.2 1	0.06	1. 6 5	1. 5 7	- 0.08
Shrira mpur	0. 0 0	1.6 6	1.66	14. 15	14. 56	0.41	15. 52	17. 05	1.53	6.0 0	6.6 5	0.65	0. 0 0	0.3 7	0.37	1. 5 9	2. 2 9	0.70
Newa sa	0. 8 0	8.6 6	7.86	25. 15	25. 48	0.33	9.4 3	10. 70	1.27	4.6 6	5.1 2	0.46	0. 0 0	0.5 1	0.51	0. 8 1	2. 2 4	1.43
Shevg aon	6. 7 9	16. 18	9.39	10. 68	13. 37	2.69	17. 52	21. 22	3.70	8.8 2	10. 66	1.84	0. 2 1	1.7 9	1.58	0. 8 8	1. 1 6	0.28
Pathar di	0. 1 3	7.4 5	7.32	25. 24	37. 61	12.3 7	8.8 5	20. 63	11.7 9	3.3 0	4.0 7	0.78	1. 9 1	3.0 3	1.12	0. 4 3	0. 4 4	0.01
Nagar	0. 0 4	0.0 8	0.04	11. 17	27. 58	16.4 1	6.2 7	14. 12	7.86	6.4 5	13. 42	6.97	4. 8 2	13. 41	8.59	1. 6 5	0. 6 2	- 1.03
Rahur i	0. 4 3	1.4 6	1.03	16. 73	19. 23	2.50	11. 98	13. 34	1.37	10. 08	11. 16	1.08	1. 2 6	2.1 8	0.92	4. 8 1	5. 3 4	0.53
Parne r	0. 1 6	0.0 0	- 0.16	12. 97	20. 92	7.96	12. 00	19. 36	7.36	4.2 7	8.9 4	4.67	4. 2 5	7.9 9	3.74	2. 3 0	1. 2 9	- 1.01
Shrig onda	0. 0 2	0.0 0	- 0.02	19. 00	35. 06	16.0 6	17. 21	20. 58	3.38	5.4 5	7.6 5	2.20	1. 7 9	4.9 7	3.18	1. 1 0	1. 6 8	0.58
Karjat	1. 7 9	2.2 4	0.45	9.0 8	14. 73	5.64	15. 36	27. 61	12.2 5	6.0 6	7.9 2	1.87	2. 6 4	5.1 4	2.50	1. 5 5	1. 4 5	-0.10
Jamk hed	3. 5 5	15. 66	12.1 1	9.2 3	17. 85	8.62	11. 98	25. 48	13.5 0	2.3 1	6.4 5	4.14	0. 0 0	0.0 0	0.00	0. 6 7	1. 5 3	0.86
Distri ct	1. 8 1	3.4 9	1.68	15. 75	25. 00	9.25	11. 80	18. 99	7.19	5.9 6	10. 00	4.04	2. 4 7	5.0 1	2.54	1. 7 4	1. 7 4	0.00

Wesleyan Journal of Research, Vol.14 No.26 (September 2021)